

# Necessary, and often sufficient, conditions for the existence of Conformal Factorizations

*P.Angulo, D.Faraco, L.Guijarro, A.Ruiz*

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_g u + qu &= 0, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = f \\ \Lambda_{g,q}(f) &= (\nabla u \cdot n)|_{\partial\Omega} \end{aligned}$$

ECM, Berlin 2016



*supported by ERC 301179*

# Geometric Calderón Problem (one version of it)

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $\Omega \subset M$  an open set:

$$-\Delta_g u + qu = 0, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = f$$

$$\Lambda_{g,q}(f) = (\nabla u \cdot n)|_{\partial\Omega}$$

If we know  $\Lambda_{g,q} : H^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . Can we recover the potential  $q$ ?

# History

- 81 Calderón rediscovered the problem.
- 87  $n = 3$  Sylvester-Uhlmann  $g = g_E$   $\rho \in C^2$ . Introduced **Complex Geometric Optics** (CGO) solutions.
- 2006  $n = 2$  Astala-Päivärinta: Ok for  $\rho \in L^\infty$  (Scattering transform method plus quasiconformal maps)
- 2014 Caro-Rogers  $n = 3$ :  $\rho$  Lipschitz (Sylvester-Uhlmann + Haberman Tatar)
- 2006 **Dos Santos Ferreira-Kenig-Salo-Uhlman**. CGO method might work if ( $\sim$  and only if  $\sim$ )  $(M, g)$  admits a limiting Carleman weight (LCW).
- 2010 Liimatainen Salo: “generic” metrics do not admit LCWs.

# Limiting Carleman weights

Let  $(M, g)$  a Riemannian metric. A **limiting Carleman weight** is a function whose gradient is parallel in a conformally equivalent metric. A vector field  $X$  is parallel if for every  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$\nabla_X(Y) = 0$$

$g$  admits a limiting Carleman weight  $\varphi$  *if and only if* there exists local coordinates such that  $\partial_1 = \nabla\varphi$  and

$$g(x) = e^{2f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_0(x') \end{pmatrix}$$

In other words, near each point,  $g = e^{2f}(e \oplus g_0)$  where  $g_0$  is the metric of an  $(n - 1)$ -manifold, and  $e$  is the euclidean metric in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

# Limiting Carleman weights

Let  $(M, g)$  a Riemannian metric. A **limiting Carleman weight** is a function whose gradient is parallel in a conformally equivalent metric. A vector field  $X$  is parallel if for every  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$\nabla_X(Y) = 0$$

$g$  admits a limiting Carleman weight  $\varphi$  *if and only if* there exists local coordinates such that  $\partial_1 = \nabla\varphi$  and

$$g(x) = e^{2f(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g_0(x') \end{pmatrix}$$

In other words, near each point,  $g = e^{2f}(e \oplus g_0)$  where  $g_0$  is the metric of an  $(n - 1)$ -manifold, and  $e$  is the euclidean metric in  $\mathbf{R}$ .

how can I know if a conformal multiple of the metric is a product?

# Famous tensors

$R$ =Curvature tensor,  $Ric$ =Ricci Tensor,  $s$ =Scalar Curvature,  
 $W$ =Weyl Tensor,  $C$ =Cotton tensor  
Schouten Tensor

$$S = \frac{1}{n-2} \left( Ric - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} sg \right) \quad (1)$$

and

$$R = W + S \oslash g \quad (2)$$

where  $\oslash$  is the Kulkarni-Nomizu product of two symmetric 2-tensors which is defined by

$$(\alpha \oslash \beta)_{ijkl} = \alpha_{ik}\beta_{jl} + \beta_{ik}\alpha_{jl} - \alpha_{il}\beta_{jk} - \alpha_{jk}\beta_{il}$$

and  $R$  and  $W$  are understood as  $(0, 4)$  tensors. The Cotton tensor:

$$C_{ijk} = (\nabla_i S)_{jk} - (\nabla_j S)_{ik} \quad (3)$$

# Conformally invariant tensors

- If  $n = 3$ , then  $W = 0$ .
- If  $n = 4$ , then  $(n - 3)C = \operatorname{div}(W)$ .
- The  $(1, 3)$  version of the Weyl tensor is conformally invariant.
- If  $n = 3$ , and  $\tilde{g}$  is a multiple of  $g$ , the Cotton tensor of  $\tilde{g}$  is a multiple of the Cotton tensor of  $g$ .
- If  $n \geq 4$ ,  $W = 0$  implies  $g$  is *conformally flat*.
- If  $n = 3$ ,  $C = 0$  implies  $g$  is *conformally flat*.

# Curvature operators

Symmetries of Curvature allow to interpret Curvature as Curvature Operators. i.e elements of  $S^2(\Lambda^2(TM))$ :

$$R(x \wedge y, z \wedge t) = R(x, y, z, t)$$

- *Curvature Operators*:  $\mathcal{R} = \ker(b)$ . ( $b : S^2(\Lambda^2(TM)) \rightarrow \Lambda^4(TM)$  is the Bianchi Operator).
- *Weyl Operators*:  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{R} \cap \ker(r)$  ( $r : S^2(\Lambda^2(TM)) \rightarrow S^2(TM)$  is the Ricci Operator).



# Curvature operators

Symmetries of Curvature allow to interpret Curvature as Curvature Operators. i.e elements of  $S^2(\Lambda^2(TM))$ :

$$R(x \wedge y, z \wedge t) = R(x, y, z, t)$$

- *Curvature Operators*:  $\mathcal{R} = \ker(b)$ . ( $b : S^2(\Lambda^2(TM)) \rightarrow \Lambda^4(TM)$  is the Bianchi Operator).

- *Weyl Operators*:  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{R} \cap \ker(r)$  ( $r : S^2(\Lambda^2(TM)) \rightarrow S^2(TM)$  is the Ricci Operator).

## Definition

$W$  satisfies the **Eigenflag property** with eigenflag direction  $v \in TM$  if

$$W(v \wedge v^\perp) \subset v \wedge v^\perp$$

$$\mathcal{EW} = \{W \in \mathcal{W} : W \text{ satisfy Eigenflag for some } v\}$$

## Theorem

*Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 4$  which admits a LCW. Then*

$$W_g(p) \in \mathcal{EW}$$

*The parallel vector field is an eigenflag direction.*

---

*Proof: Show that this is true if  $g$  admits a parallel vector field and use the conformal invariance of the Weyl Tensor.*

- A bivector  $\omega \in \Lambda^2(TM)$  is simple if  $\omega = v \wedge w$  for  $v, w \in TM$ .  
 $e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4$  is not simple.
- $(M, g)$  be a 4 dimensional Riemannian manifold such that some  $\tilde{g} \in [g]$  admits a parallel vector field. Then all the eigenvectors of the Weyl operator of  $g$  are simple.

## Theorem

*The complex projective plane ( $CP^2$ ) with its canonical metric does not have a limiting Carleman weight.*

Consider the following basis of non simple eigenvectors.

$$\phi_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2 + e_3 \wedge e_4, \quad \phi_2 = e_1 \wedge e_3 - e_2 \wedge e_4, \quad \phi_3 = e_1 \wedge e_4 + e_2 \wedge e_3, \quad (4)$$

for its self-dual component,  $\Lambda^+ = \langle \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \rangle$  and

$$\psi_1 = e_1 \wedge e_2 - e_3 \wedge e_4, \quad \psi_2 = e_1 \wedge e_3 + e_2 \wedge e_4, \quad \psi_3 = e_1 \wedge e_4 - e_2 \wedge e_3, \quad (5)$$

$$\Lambda^- = \langle \psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3 \rangle$$

Then  $W$  diagonalize in this basis with eigenvalues  $(4, -2, -2, 0, 0, 0)$  i.e the eigenspaces have no simple bivectors !!

In dimension 3 we should try to read the result from the Cotton tensor. By its simetries the Cotton tensor is equivalent to a new (2,0) tensor called the Cotton York tensor (By the Hodge Operator)

$$CY_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} C_{kli} g_{jm} \frac{\epsilon^{klm}}{\sqrt{\det g}} = g_{jm} (\nabla_k S)_{li} \frac{\epsilon^{klm}}{\sqrt{\det g}} \quad (6)$$

In dimension 3 we should try to read the result from the Cotton tensor. By its symmetries the Cotton tensor is equivalent to a new (2,0) tensor called the Cotton York tensor (By the Hodge Operator)

$$CY_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} C_{kli} g_{jm} \frac{\epsilon^{klm}}{\sqrt{\det g}} = g_{jm} (\nabla_k S)_{li} \frac{\epsilon^{klm}}{\sqrt{\det g}} \quad (6)$$

### Theorem

*Let  $n = 3$ . If a metric  $\tilde{g} \in [g]$  admits a parallel vector field, then for any  $p \in M$ , there is a tangent vector  $v \in T_p M$  such that*

$$CY_p(v, v) = CY_p(w_1, w_2) = 0$$

*for any pair of vectors  $w_1, w_2 \in v^\perp$ .*

*This is equivalent to  $\det(CY_p) = 0$ .*

Hint: the parallel vector field is  $v$ .

## Theorem

*Among the eight Thurston geometries, only Nil and  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  do not admit limiting Carleman weights while the other six are locally conformal to products of  $\mathbf{R}$  and a surface.*

*Example:*  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  with its left invariant metric, Iwasawa decomposition

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$CY_{(\theta,0,0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

with non-zero determinant. Since the metric is left invariant, the same happens at any other point.

# Size of Eigenflag Weyl Operators

## Theorem

*The set  $\mathcal{EW}$  of Weyl tensors that satisfy the eigenflag condition is a semialgebraic subset of the space of Weyl tensors with codimension*

$$\frac{1}{3}n^3 - n^2 - \frac{4}{3}n + 2.$$

*In particular, the codimension is 2 for  $n = 4$  and 12 for  $n = 5$ .*

# Metrics whose Weyl operator has the eigenflag property

## Theorem

*The set of metrics which are not locally conformal to a product at any point in  $M$  contains an open and dense set.*

Proof: the set of all Weyl tensors with the eigenflag property is a *stratified bundle*. The set of metrics for which the map  $p \rightarrow W_p$  is transverse to this bundle is open and dense.



# Is it a sufficient Condition?

More careful analysis of dimension 4.

## Lema

$n = 4$   $W \in \mathcal{EW}$ . Then there are at most three eigenvalues of multiplicity at least 2 which add up to 0

- If the three eigenvalues are distinct then there are exactly four orthogonal eigenflag directions.
- If two eigenvalues coincide we have two orthogonal planes of eigenflag directions.
- otherwise  $W = 0$

If  $(M, g)$  is conformal to a product of surfaces, the Weyl tensor has two planes of eigenflag directions.

# Criteria for being conformal to a product, given the candidate distributions

## Theorem

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian metric, and  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two orthogonal integral distributions. The following are equivalent:

- $g$  is locally conformal to the product of a metric on an integral leave of  $D_1$  and an integral leave of  $D_2$
- $D_1, D_2$  are umbilical with mean curvature normals  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  and

$$d(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^b = 0$$

# Product of Surfaces

## Theorem

*$(S_1, g_1) \times (S_2, g_2)$  is conformally flat iff  $S_1$  has constant curvature  $c$  and  $S_2$  has constant curvature  $-c$ .*

*If  $(S_1, g_1) \times (S_2, g_2)$  is not flat, it admits a LCW if and only if  $(S_1, g_1)$  or  $(S_2, g_2)$  is locally isometric to a surface of revolution.*

**Ex:** The product of two scalene ellipsoids with the metric induced by  $\mathbb{R}^3$  does not admit LCWs but has the eigenflag property.

## A curious example

On  $U \subset \{(t, x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^4 : x > 0\}$ , define:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

- The Weyl tensor of  $g$  has the eigenflag property at every point, with three different eigenvalues. Hence, the metric is not locally conformal to a product of surfaces.
- The eigenflag directions of the Weyl tensor are spanned by the coordinate vector fields.
- The functions  $t, y, z$  are the only LCWs.

## Another curious example

On  $U \subset \{(t, x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^4 : x > 0\}$ , define:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x^3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/x \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

- The Weyl tensor of  $g$  has the eigenflag property at every point, with only two different eigenvalues. However, the metric is not locally conformal to a product of surfaces.
- The functions  $t, y, z$  are the only LCWs.

Proof: The Weyl tensor identifies the distributions  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . Apply the criteria for being conformal to a product to find out  $M$  is not a product along  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . Then a more careful analysis is required to find out the LCWs.

# Thanks!

- Pablo Angulo, Daniel Faraco, Luis Guijarro: Sufficient conditions for the existence of limiting Carleman weights. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.04201>
- Pablo Angulo: On the set of metrics without local limiting Carleman weights. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.02127>
- Pablo Angulo, Daniel Faraco, Luis Guijarro and Alberto Ruiz: Obstructions to the existence of limiting Carleman weights. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.4887> (Analysis and PDE 9-3 (2016), 575–59)
- This can be done using **SageManifolds** or some other Computer Algebra Software.